To have a vision of the main changes occurring in work and employment that can be imagined and their impacts in the field of OSH

- **Methodology:**
  - Set up of a pluridisciplinary team
  - Choice of the different drivers
  - Building of various scenarios by combining the different hypotheses of evolution of the different drivers. **Focus on 2 sectors: retail trade and interior fit-out**
  - The last step will consist in translating these scenarios into consequences for occupational safety and health.

In foresight studies, we do not claim to describe the future and the way things will happen. We highlight the indicators to be followed.
Freelance workers that work for these platforms are particularly exposed to:

- **Some physical risks** factors:
  - Handling and lifting tasks under time constraints, in changing contexts, deliveries to our doors, changes in weight and dimensions of loads, etc.
  - Last mile in logistics generates road risk.

- **Some psychosocial risks** factors:
  - Unusual working hours (night work, fragmentation of working time),
  - Work intensification
  - Little autonomy
  - Decline in social relations (competition between workers, weakening of the collective of workers)
  - Insecurity of work situations, etc.
  - Exposure of delivery persons to dissatisfaction of clients.
### Psychosocial Risks Factors / Example of the Delivery Men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSR Factor Categories</th>
<th>Characteristics of Platform Work</th>
<th>Example of Deliverymen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work Intensity and Work Time</strong>&lt;br&gt;related to qualitative and quantitative work requirements, work rate constraints, the existence of unrealistic or vague objectives, requirements for multiple skills which workers might not have, contradictory instructions, long work weeks, unusual working hours, unpredictability of working hours.</td>
<td><strong>Work rate imposed</strong> by artificial intelligence, <strong>task-based remuneration</strong>, performance assessment criteria, distinction not clear between private life and working life.</td>
<td>The platform algorithm allocates delivery runs. The faster the deliveryman, the more runs he can make and the higher the income he can obtain. The most well-paid working hours are often atypical (evenings, weekend). Deliverymen can however choose their off-periods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Autonomy</strong>, possibility of having one's own say in one's work&lt;br&gt;This includes not only room for manoeuvre but also participation in decision-making and development of skills.</td>
<td><strong>Work defined and controlled by artificial intelligence</strong>&lt;br&gt;Operators' room for manoeuvre can be very narrow. Moreover, they can be given tasks that don't allow them to use or develop their skills.</td>
<td>The platform traces all of the deliverymen's activities (times of their runs, itinerary, speed, etc.). They have to conform to requirements with no real room for manoeuvre. Deliverymen can be overqualified for this work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social Relations at Work</strong>&lt;br&gt;This includes relations with colleagues, management, remuneration, career prospects, how well the task is adapted to the person, work assessment procedures, attention paid to workers' wellbeing.</td>
<td>Workers isolated, <strong>lack of close supervision and a work collective</strong>&lt;br&gt;Relationship managed remotely.</td>
<td>Deliverymen are on their own. If there are any difficulties, they cannot seek assistance from a colleague or supervisor. Social support will depend on the relationships that they may create with other deliverymen, but all deliverymen individually manage their own relationship with the platform.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PLATFORMISATION: A CHALLENGE OR A REVOLUTION FOR PREVENTION?

- Most of the **general principles of prevention** appear to be **out of tune** with the functioning of the platforms

- Economic model and organisation of these companies are **outside the regulatory and insurance framework encouraging prevention**
  - No OSH legal responsibility
  - No OSH insurance penalties

**BUT** nothing prevents platforms from referring to them to implement actions to protect the health of workers
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principles</th>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Prospects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avoiding risks.</td>
<td>Given the jobs made available to a large extent on platforms (drivers, delivery persons, personal assistance, interior construction, etc.) the primary objective of eliminating risks (for example those associated with manual handling or travelling) appear to be difficult to attain.</td>
<td>Some tasks identified as being particularly hazardous could be excluded from the scope of services handled by certain platforms, which would therefore redirect them towards specialised, skilled partners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating the risks which cannot be avoided.</td>
<td>Platformisation seems to tend towards a deferral of risk evaluation and prevention to freelancers.</td>
<td>Algorithms could incorporate a risk evaluation dimension associated with tasks and therefore launch prevention recommendations. An evaluation approach adapted to platforms’ operating mode could be developed and implemented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principles</td>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>Prospects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adapting the work to the individual, especially as regards the design of work places, the choice of work equipment and the choice of working and production methods, with a view, in particular, to alleviating monotonous work and work at a predetermined work-rate and to reducing their effect on health.</td>
<td>Work proposed by platforms is predefined by nature; workers must adapt and conform to the requirements. It will often be monotonous, repetitive, and at an imposed rate.</td>
<td>Adapting work to the individual is above all a matter of approach. Platforms can make this choice by involving their service providers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adapting to technical progress.</td>
<td>Technical progress is used primarily for the purposes of optimisation (matching algorithms, use of customer data, etc.). Staying alert to innovations, and the acquisition of recent equipment, are the worker’s responsibility.</td>
<td>Platforms’ innovation capacity could also be used to improve prevention. For example, by developing suitable work equipment or information systems supplying service providers with all of the data useful for limiting the risks associated with their operations depending on the feedback from previous service delivery.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Focus interior fit out

• **Scenario**: In 2027, all linking of individuals and service providers in the interior construction industry takes place through platforms
  - These platforms offer services that exceed the mere role of intermediary: consulting, project funding, guarantees, insurance, sale and lease of material and equipment, etc.

• Potential positive OSH consequences:
  - Platform intermediation could enable the market to be regulated by avoiding low-cost services that bring occupational accidents.
  - To attract and retain craftsmen, platforms could invest in risk prevention. Standardization of the processes used including OSH good practices. OSH becomes a marketing argument.
  - Mutualization of expensive protection equipments, negociation of the prices for small tools more efficient in OSH (e.g., drill with dust aspiration).

• Potential negative OSH consequences:
  - Craftsmen’s dependence on platforms could reduce their room for maneuver in their work organisation, without the platform assuming an employer’s responsibility in terms of prevention.
  - Massive use of platforms may further isolate craftsmen, as they are no longer in contact with their suppliers and have minimum interaction with their clients.
CONCLUSION

• INRS invites these platforms to **invest more in improving the “worker experience”** and **include occupational health as early as possible** in new organisations.

• Tomorrow, platforms’ innovation capacity could be used to ensure a high level of worker health protection. They could become **prevention relays**, by deploying safety standards or safer work equipment. This will depend on the **choices made by these platforms**.
Abuses

Subcontracting to workers who cannot create a micro-entrepreneur account (migrants or minors)

- Example: police control targeting platforms riders
- 6/8 was illegal subcontractors

8 livreurs contrôlés dont 6 sous-traitants de compte #UberEats #Deliveroo procédures pour travail illégal.
Abuses

Qui a des créneaux à vendre en pcn ?

- Reselling of shift on the Web
Last example

• The « juicers »: a new job in our cities
  ■ Freelance contractors who pick electric scooters to reload them during the night

• ...a lot of OSH issues:
  ■ Night work (6PM-7AM)
  ■ Driving (personal car)
  ■ Handling
  ■ Violence (competition between workers)
  ■ A kind of risk premium (better prices for scooters parked in private areas)
  ■ Electrical risk

• Last summer after a bad buzz sequence the municipality of Paris announced a futur call of tender to choose 2 or 3 scooters operators with environmental & social criterias

=> In September, main operators announced that they stopped recruiting freelance contractors to take « real » employees or sub contractors.
TO DOWNLOAD THE SUMMARY:


TO VIEW A VIDEO INTERVIEW:

https://www.youtube.com/user/INRSFrance